

Resilient transport infrastructure No trust without cybersecurity

### **PROTECT YOUR ESSENTIAL SERVICES**



Transport systems are complex, highly interconnected and the impact of a cybersecurity attack is potentially major at operational, safety, reputational and financial levels.

For brownfield projects, it is up to the transport authorities and operators to identify existing assets, assess the risks (e.g. safety critical incident, loss of operations control, data leak...), then put in place organisational and technical measures to mitigate them. Main challenges are:

- Wide geographic distribution of assets along the railway line;
- Increasing mix of legacy systems (e.g. bespoke stand-alone systems) with new connected technologies (e.g. standardised COTS, opensource platforms, remotely accessible ICS and other IoT);
- Tough patch management and protection of outdated components due to systems warranty conditions;
- Eligibility to both cybersecurity and safety requirements.

For the construction of new lines, it is a matter of integrating cybersecurity issues into design and conception choices and setting up processes to adapt systems to the changing threat.

Cybersecurity becomes essential to deliver more connected and resilient transport services. Many transport infrastructures are considered as critical by national authorities, and submitted to security regulations such as NIS Directive in Europe or LPM in France.

#### **SECURITY LEVEL TARGET**

IEC 62443 standard "Security for Industrial Automation and Control Systems" defines four Security Levels.

Relevant protection against opportunistic threats

**>** as a minimum

### SL2

SL1

SL3

SL4

Relevant protection against hackers & cybercriminals

> recommended for non-critical systems

### SSS

\$

(\$)(\$)

- Relevant protection against hacktivist & terrorist organisations
- recommended for critical systems with potential impacts on operations

### \$\$\$\$

Relevant protection against most Advanced Persistent Threats and governmental organisations

 recommended for critical systems with potential impacts on safety

Railway infrastructure managers and operators are quite vulnerable to cyber threats: governance and protection measures are partly implemented whereas detection and response measures are rarely implemented.

Majority of known cyberattacks on railway systems are opportunistic, but logging and detection means are lacking to investigate this further.

Finally railway infrastructure managers and operators remain vulnerable to Advanced Persistent Threats (APT) which would decide to specifically target Operational Technologies (OT).

## **RECOMMENDATIONS IN A NUTSHELL**





# ENSURE BUSINESS CONTINUITY AND SAFETY



## OUR SOLUTIONS FOR GREENFIELD AND BROWNFIELD ASSETS

### Our teams are ready to support your projects

- Ensure business continuity and passenger safety
- Manage compliance with regulations and standards
- Protect sensitive data

AND DESCRIPTION OF

### **SECURITY BY DESIGN**

Implementation of cybersecurity across the project lifecycle and into the supply chain

METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK REQUIREMENTS

HOMOLOGATION

### GOVERNANCE

Advisory and support at organisational and strategical level

SECURITY POLICIES

ROADMAP

### ASSESMENT

Security assessment and definition of security recommendations

MAPPING CONFORMITY

VULNERABILITIES

### SOLUTIONS

Advisory and support at technical and technological level

STUDIES TESTS IMPLEMENTATION

### SYSTRA'S ADDED VALUE

- Knowledge of transport systems including telecom, command-control, signalling, auxiliary systems and passenger services
- Expertise in cybersecurity for OT (Operational Technologies)
- Ability to address both security and safety
- Knowledge of standards and guidelines specific to the cybersecurity of railway systems
- Participation in CENELEC and UITP working groups about cybersecurity of rail transport infrastructures

### **ZOOM: AGILE RISK MANAGEMENT**



Decision making requires having a clear overview on priorities being supported by an incremental risk management process.

The EBIOS Risk Management methodology by ANSSI (compliant with ISO 27005) promotes a dynamic and threat centric approach, paying attention to the analysis of threat scenarios at strategic and operational levels. Risk scoring has to take into account impacts (operational, safety, financial) and threat likelihood.

Likelihood of threat scenarios can be computed according to the analysis of past cyberattacks and upcoming threats.

New security exploits are made public every month revealing new weaknesses in transport systems and infrastructures. They can be packaged in order to simplify or industrialise their use.

As technological changes continuously arise (e.g. 5G, FRMCS, Cloud, IoT, etc.) with significant impacts on the vulnerability exposure, security measures shall be adapted as quickly as possible.



## **OUR ECOSYSTEM**



# **OUR MAIN REFERENCES**

# STAKEHOLDERS MAPPING AND MINIMUM-SECURITY MEASURES

#### CLIENT: ENISA (European cybersecurity agency), Europe

Under the framework contract "Supporting cybersecurity for transport sector activities - Lot 2 - cybersecurity for the railway sector", SYSTRA carried out a study, a survey and interviews to assist ENISA to evaluate the NIS Directive implementation in the rail transport sector. SYSTRA has supported ENISA in the following tasks:

- Analysis of standards and existing regulations (e.g. ISO 27001/2, IEC 62443, draft TS 50701, DIN VDE 831-104, NIST CSF, NIST 800-82, APTA, ANSSI ICS guidelines, NIS Directive, LPM)
- Review of predefined security measures applicable to railway systems
- A survey targeting railway infrastructure managers and operators
- Drafting report, summary report and press-release

### ENCRYPTION OF GROUND-BOARD RADIO COMMUNICATIONS

#### **CLIENT: Transport operator**, USA

SYSTRA designs and implements a One Time Password framework for the PTC radio communication. SYSTRA carried out the following tasks:

- Data collection and mapping of information systems
- Data analysis, identification of vulnerabilities and risk analysis
- Definition of recommendations and a roadmap
- Feedback of results to operational and management teams for implementation

### SECURI

### SECURITY ASSESSMENT OF METRO SYSTEMS

#### **CLIENT: Transport operator**, Africa

SYSTRA supported the client in the following tasks:

- Security assessment planning and preparation
- Data collection and IS mapping
- Vulnerability mapping and analysis
- Short risk analysis
- Definition of security recommendations and roadmap
- Reporting to management and operational teams



#### **CLIENT: Project owner**, France

SYSTRA carries out the following tasks:

- IS mapping
- Analysis of the architecture and identification of critical systems
- Project management and follow-up of cyber related activities
- Upgrade of cyber requirements according to national regulations
- Participation to risk analysis with systems suppliers
- Conformity control to cybersecurity requirements
- Preparation of homologation files
- Implementation of cybersecurity governance

### SECURITY ASSESSMENT OF TRAMWAY SYSTEMS

#### **CLIENT: Transport operator**, France

SYSTRA provides consultancy services and carries out the following tasks:

- Data collection and interviews
- Security assessment preparation and planning
- IS mapping
- Conformity analysis according to NIST 800-53 (CMMC)
- Analysis of systems architecture
- Analysis of assets configuration (Firewall, VPN, Microsoft, Linux Infrastructure)
- Risk analysis
- Definition of roadmap
- Reporting to management and operational teams

#### www.systra.com systems@systra.com

# SYSTIA

SYSTRA Australia Level 15 - Chifley Tower 2 Chifley Square Sydney NSW 2000 AUSTRALIA SYSTRA France 72-76, rue Henry Farman 75015 Paris - FRANCE Tél. : +33 (0)1 40 16 61 00 SYSTRA Singapore 333 North Bridge Road #05-01 KH KEA Singapore 188721 SINGAPORE

SYSTRA UAE Al Masraf Tower Dubai UNITED ARAB EMIRATES SYSTRA UK & Ireland 1 Carey Lane London EC2V 8AE UNITED KINGDOM SYSTRA USA New York Headquarters 520 Eighth Avenue, Suite 2100 New York, NY 10018 - USA